**Summary of Stormwater Design Alternatives in Keating Channel Precinct** 

Evaluation Critoria				Keating Channel Precind		
	Alternative 1 All land uses (development blocks, open space/parks and roads) have individual systems for treating stormwater including Total Suspended Solid (TSS) removal and disinfection.	Alternative 2 All land uses have individual site systems for TSS removal but there is a common facility used for disinfection.	Alternative 3A  All land uses share a common facility for all stormwater treatment (i.e., TSS removal and disinfection), but the facilities are only designed to service Keating Channel Precinct. Facilities are optimized to meet the required water quality targets.	Alternative 3B All land uses share a common facility for all stormwater treatment (i.e., TSS removal and disinfection), but the facilities are only designed to service Keating Channel Precinct. Facilities are sized based on available space.	Alternative 4A  All land uses share common TSS removal and disinfection systems and the facilities are designed to be integrated with facilities for adjacent neighbourhoods (i.e., EBF and WDL). Facilities are optimized to meet the required water quality targets.	Alternative 4B All land uses share common TSS removal and disinfection systems and the facilities are designed to be integrated with facilities in adjacent neighbourhoods. The facilities are optimized to meet the required water quality targets. Facilities are sized based on available space.
Natural Environment     Don Mouth Naturalization     New Natural Area – (Wetlands)	No difference to Don Mouth Naturalization because Keating Channel Precinct (i.e., proposed improvements) are north of Villiers/Commissioners Street					
	The most amount of land is lost within each land use, to provide individual systems.	- Some land is lost within each land use to provide individual systems for TSS removal.	Is a viable option for Keating Channel Precinct but requires more land in "larger picture" of adjacent neighbourhoods, – creates some redundancy and limits total space available for mixed use, vibrant communities. + Good water quality targets	Is a viable option for Keating Channel Precinct but requires more land in "larger picture" of adjacent neighbourhoods, – creates some redundancy and limits total space available for mixed use, vibrant communities Water quality targets are lower.	<ul> <li>+ This alternative maximizes use of space and provides good water quality targets</li> <li>- Keating Channel Precinct stormwater functioning is dependent on work of others in adjacent studies.</li> </ul>	+ This alternative ensures that Keating Channel Precinct stormwater is dealt with appropriately, regardless of outcome of adjacent studies and supports mixed use, vibrant community.
	- Least cost-effective to build multiple systems for each land use for TSS removal and disinfection.	- Not cost-effective to build multiple systems for each land use for TSS removal.	Is acceptable for Keating Channel Precinct but not most cost-effective way to manage stormwater (i.e., each neighbourhood has their own system).	Is acceptable for Keating Channel Precinct but not most cost-effective way to manage stormwater (i.e., each neighbourhood has their own system).	Is most cost-effective to build but has a higher level of risk when Keating Channel stormwater approvals are dependant on outcome of adjacent studies.	+ This alternative is cost-effective to build because it is integrated with stormwater treatment in adjacent neighbourhoods, includes natural processes and confirms appropriate water quality targets, independent of adjacent studies.
<ul><li>Cultural Environment</li><li>Aboriginal people</li><li>Heritage structures</li><li>Archaeology</li></ul>	No difference between alternatives to cultural environment – no impacts.					
Sustainability  WT Sustainability Framework City sustainability standards Impervious surfaces Water Quality Improvement	Will meet partial sustainability targets by improving water quality, reducing impervious surfaces and addressing both City and WT sustainability standards and framework.  - Will not achieve technical sustainability and other engineering aspects due to having separate UV treatment facilities for disinfection.	Will meet partial sustainability targets by improving water quality, reducing impervious surfaces and addressing both City and WT sustainability standards and framework.  Will achieve partial technical sustainability and other engineering aspects with common facility for UV treatment.	Will meet sustainability targets by improving water quality, reducing impervious surfaces and addressing both City and WT sustainability standards and framework. Will achieve partial technical sustainability and other engineering aspects with common facility for UV treatment.	Will meet sustainability targets by improving water quality, reducing impervious surfaces and addressing both City and WT sustainability standards and framework.  Will achieve partial technical sustainability and other engineering aspects with common facility for UV treatment.	Will meet sustainability targets by improving water quality, reducing impervious surfaces and addressing both City and WT sustainability standards and framework.  + Will achieve technical sustainability and other engineering aspects with common facility for UV treatment.	Will meet sustainability targets by improving water quality, reducing impervious surfaces and addressing both City and WT sustainability standards and framework.  + Will achieve technical sustainability and other engineering aspects with common facility for UV treatment.
	- Has the greatest property impacts within each land use.	- Has property impacts within each land use.	Has minor property impacts.	Has minor property impacts.	Has minor property impacts.	Has minor property impacts.
Transportation  Walkability Transit priority Zero-growth traffic Parking	N/A					
Municipal Services	No difference - All alternatives are capable of servicing the Keating Channel Precinct adequately and all utility impacts can be mitigated					
Utilities						

Alternative 4B is the Preferred Stormwater Design because it maximizes efficiencies with adjacent stormwater treatment facilities and land uses, is most compatible with the City of Toronto's goals for stormwater management in the waterfront area, it uses less land in each separate neighborhood because integrated facilities' are used. The Preferred Stormwater Design includes:

- Tying into the proposed tank in East Bayfront EA to service lands west of Cherry Street;
- Locating LDL tanks adjacent to the West Don Lands tanks (at the rail berm north of Lake Shore Boulevard), to service lands east of Cherry Street (north of the Keating Channel); and sharing pumping and UV treatment facilities; and
- Using either a new tank (permanently) to service lands north of Villiers Street (south of Keating Channel) or servicing this part of Keating North (temporarily) with oil grit separators until designs are confirmed for lands south of Villiers Street, in the Lower Don Lands study area. The decision to build something permanent or temporary for lands on the north side of Villiers Street (in Keating Channel Precinct) will be made through discussions with the City prior to implementation.

## STORMWATER MANAGEMENT BMP SELECTION MATRIX FOR LOWER DON LANDS CLASS EA - OPPORTUNITIES AND CONSTRAINTS **Source Controls Conveyance Controls End of Pipe Controls** Sedimentation Pre-Disinfection Filtration Disinfection Potential Opportunity / Constraint Potential Opportunity / Constraint Potential Opportunity / Constraint Feasible for block areas less than etention - Green Roof Swales (grassed, bio-filtration) Oil Grit Separators ovisional Filtration 5.0 ha Individually operated Systems for Individually operated disinfection Disinfection: Rainwater Harvesting for Infiltration not feasible given soil disinfection systems not ptimizing systems not desirable from cost Catchbasin Filters Infiltration Basins Toilet Flushing Demands contamination issues desirable from cost/ qualitiy a) UV Treatment Operation of UV qualitiv control perspective nfiltration not feasible given soil Land area requirements control perspective Rainwater Harvesting for Treatment Facility Pervious Catchbasins Dry Ponds Street Tree Irrigation Needs contamination issues unacceptable Liner required to prevent Land area requirements Control of Fertilizers Pervious Pipes infiltration to contaninated soil Wet Ponds unacceptable conditions Individually operated disinfection Retention - Absorbent Liners may be required to mitigate Disinfection: Land area requirements systems not desirable from cost Landscaping (rain gardens otential impacts on infiltration to torm Sewers Constructed Wetlands b) Sand Filtration unacceptable bio filters) contaminated soils qualitiy control perspective Sand Filters (use roadway fil Liner required to prevent Source of conveyance controls Filter Strips materials as filter medium for infiltration to contaninated soil Screening Facilities provide this function water quality treatment) conditions Roof water could be routed Liners may be required to mitigate End of pipe integrated solution n-line or Off-line Shallow through these systems prior to Sand Filtration Systems potential impacts on infiltration to Street Trees (Silva Cells) with EBF and WDL provides this discharge to the street tree silva Inderground Tanks contaminated soils End of pipe integrated solution Infiltration not feasible given soil Considerd end of pipe solution fo In-line or Off-line Deep Underground Soak Away Pits OGS - Oil Grit Separator with EBF and WDL provides this contamination issues this application Tanks/Tunnels function High Rate Treatment Devices/Storage No specific need for this Pervious Pavements in Receiving Waters by Displacement application identified No specific need for this Retention for Site Irrigation Real Time Monitoring application identified Potential Opportunity / Constraint BMP Potential Opportunity / Constraint Potential Opportunity / Constraint Feasible for block areas less thar Retention - Green Roof Swales (grassed, bio-filtration) Oil Grit Separators ovisional Filtration Individually operated Systems for Individually operated disinfection Rainwater Harvesting for Infiltration not feasible given soil disinfection systems not Disinfection: systems not desirable from cost/ Catchbasin Filters Infiltration Basins ptimizing desirable from cost/ qualitiy a) UV Treatment Toilet Flushing Demands contamination issues Operation of UV qualitiy control perspective Infiltration not feasible given soil control perspective Rainwater Harvesting for Land area requirements Pervious Catchbasins Dry Ponds reatment Facility Street Tree Irrigation Needs contamination issues unacceptable Liner required to prevent Land area requirements Control of Fertilizers Pervious Pipes infiltration to contaninated soil Wet Ponds unacceptable conditions Retention - Absorbent Individually operated disinfection Liners may be required to mitigate Disinfection Land area requirements Landscaping (rain gardens potential impacts on infiltration to Storm Sewers Constructed Wetlands systems not desirable from cost unacceptable b) Sand Filtration bio filters) contaminated soils qualitiv control perspective Sand Filters (use roadway fill Liner required to prevent Source of conveyance controls Filter Strips naterials as filter medium for infiltration to contaninated soil Screening Facilities provide this function Blocks water quility treatment) conditions Roof water could be routed Liners may be required to mitigate End of pipe integrated solution through these systems prior to In-line or Off-line Shallow Sand Filtration Systems potential impacts on infiltration to Street Trees (Silva Cells) with EBF and WDL provides this Underground Tanks discharge to the street tree silva ontaminated soils cells End of pipe integrated solution nfiltration not feasible given soil Considerd end of pipe solution for In-line or Off-line Deep Underground Soak Away Pits OGS - Oil Grit Separator with EBF and WDL provides this contamination issues this application Tanks/Tunnels function High Rate Treatment Devices/Storage No specific need for this Pervious Pavements in Receiving Waters by Displacement application identified No specific need for this tention for Site Irrigation Real Time Monitoring application identified

