

## Bayside - A1/A2

Detailed Design
Sentember 26. 2018

## Project Description \& Background

- Hines and Tridel as co-developers of Bayside's market residential buildings. A1/A2 is the fourth building to be developed in Bayside, and the last market residential building
- The building program will be primarily residential with animation uses at grade including retail and a community recreation centre
- The remaining developments in Bayside are:
- R6 is an affordable rental housing building with approximately 260 units
- C1 and C2 are commercial uses, such as office or academic space
- Key Milestones for other buildings in Bayside:
- R1/R2 (Aqualina) first occupancy in September 2017 (first residential occupancy in East Bayfront)
- R3/R4 (Aquavista) is under construction; begins occupancy January 2019
- R5 (Aquabella) started construction January 2018, estimated occupancy in Fall 2020
- These buildings are on track to achieve LEED platinum
- This is A1/A2 third time presenting at the DRP
- The team is presenting Detailed Design on the base building


## Key Dates for Bayside A1/A2:

- The Site Developer (Hines and Tridel) is targeting to close on the land and start construction in June, 2019


## Project Description \& Background

## Bayside A1/A2

Proponent: Hines / Tridel
Design Team: 3XN (Base Building) Perkins +Will (Community Centre)


- Perkins + Will, the fit out architect for the community centre will present Issues Identification for the community centre space


## Bayside A1/A2

## Sustainability

Proponent: Hines / Tridel

## Required:

- WT Minimum Green Building Requirements (MGBR) Version 1
- LEED Gold 2009

Targeting:

- LEED v4 Gold, Multifamily Midrise

Bayside A1/A2
Site Context
Proponent: Hines / Tridel
Design Team: 3XN
Presenters: Audun Opdal, 3XN Review Stage: Detailed Design


Bayside A1/A2
Proponent: Hines / Tridel


## Bayside A1/A2

Proponent: Hines / Tridel
Design Team: 3XN (Base Building) Perkins + Will (Community Centre) Review Stage: Detailed Design (Base Building) Issues Identification (Community Centre)


## Policy Context - Central Waterfront Secondary Plan

## D24-THE EAST BAYFRONT, A PROMINENT NEW NEIGHBOURHOOD

The East Bayfront will become a prominent waterfront address for working and living amid the energy and abundance of waterfront activities, including a new water's edge promenade and other public activities in the series of new East Bayfront public spaces. Development adjacent to the water's edge promenade shall consist of low and medium scale buildings that will reinforce the safety and usability of the public spaces.
(P31) Excellence in the design of public and private buildings, infrastructure (streets, bridges, promenades, etc.) parks and public spaces will be promoted to achieve quality, beauty and worldwide recognition.
(P32) New development will be located, organized and massed to protect view corridors, frame and support the adjacent public realm and discourage privatization of public spaces. Built form will result in comfortable micro-climates on streets, plazas and other parts of the public realm.
(P34) Schools and other community services and facilities will be integral components of new waterfront communities and will be provided in conjunction with new development.
(P10) The design of the public realm will be of a standard of excellence characteristic of the great city waterfronts of the world.
(P11) The public realm will be defined by a coherent framework of streets, parks, plazas, buildings, viewing areas, walkways, boardwalks, promenades, piers, bridges and other public infrastructure and open space elements.

## Panel Comments from October 2017:

- The height of the south tower should be lowered given the current 9-meter difference between this tower and Aqualina's south tower ( 47 meters)
- Committing to thermal breaks is a precedent-setting move for the city
- The Queens Quay elevation is an important interface. Elevation drawings should be included to show this condition.
- The community centre is a pivotal piece of the building and needs to be designed to integrate well with the rest of the building.
- The design of the mid-block connection is critical.
- Explore the possibility of making the top floors of the


Rendering from October 2017 towers accessible to the public.

- Further analysis is needed on the sun/shadow conditions and wind studies


## Bayside A1/A2

## Areas for Panel Consideration

- The materiality of the building
- The details of the balconies
- Relationship of the community centre space to the passageway


# Aqualuna <br> at Bayside 



September 26th 2018

## A1/A2 - DESIGN REVIEW PANEL - STAGE III



## DRP II - October 18, 2017

## Key Issues

Part 1.5 Consensus Comments

1. Overall building has evolved nicely.
2. Consider lower height on south tower.
3. Supportive of commitment to thermally broken balconies.
4. Further illustrate the Queens Quay elevation as an important interface.
5. The community centre design is a pivotal piece to integrate well with the rest of the building.
6. Mid-block connection design is critical.
7. Suggested to make top floors of the towers publicly accessible.
8. Further analysis on sun/shadow and wind conditions.
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Introduction

Maximize Views
The project seeks to maximize water views from the residential units and amenity spaces. At the same time, the project volume is considerate towards the views for the
neighboring buildings and streets.


## Creating a lively urban environment

Creating urban spaces that are active, safe and relate
to the human scale is important to the project. Outdoor
living spaces, setbacks and active ground floor facades
contribute to the streets and promenade.



3XN Architects | Aqualuna at Bayside


## Aqualuna



## Zoning / Massing

## Current Massing

マ Roof of Full Residential Floor

- Roof of Mechanical

GFA $\quad 38.900$ sqm / 418.715 sqft

N peak 17 floors (6 above Zoning) (equal to DRP2)
S peak 16 floors (5 above Zoning) (equal to DRP2)
Podium 5 floors ( 6 below Zoning)



## Elevations \& Sections

## South Elevation



## West Elevation



## Long Section




## East Elevation



## North Elevation




## Ground Floor Plan

Ground Floor Plan



## Ground Floor Overview

## Ground Floor Overview



## Townhome Terraces

Plan - Level 02


## Townhome Terraces

Planting \& finishes - Level 02


Materiality

## Facade Components



## Material Palette



## Material Palette



Textured Aluminum
Copper/Bronze colour.

## Aluminum Sample

View angle - Image series



Elevations \& Views

North Residential Lobby


## North Residential Lobby

Elevation
(1) Lobby Enty
2. Vertical penel- Textured Aluminum
(3) Curtainwal-Vision
(4) Curtainwal-Vision-Fritted
(5) Lobby Caropy / Signage Band
(6) Exhaust Band
(7) AluminumLamella
(8) Edge Planter


## South Residential Lobby

## South Residential Lobby

```
(1) Lobby Enty
2)Vertical penel - Textured Aluminum
(3) Curtainwal-Vision
4) Curtainwal - Vision - Fritted
5 Lobby Caropy / Signage Band
(6) Exhaust Band
7) AluminumLamella
(8) Edge Planter
```



## Community Center on QQE and WEP



## Community Center

Elevation
(1) Community Center Entry
2. Vertical penel- Textured Aluminum
(3) Curtainwal-Vision
(4) Curtainwal-Vision - Fritted
(5) Commun. Center Canopy / Signage Band
(6) Exhaust Band
(7) AluminumLamella
(8) Edge Planter


Retail on Merchants' Wharf


## Typical Retail Entrance

```
1) Retail Entry
2)Vertical penel - Textured Aluminum
(3) Curtainwal - Vision
4) Curtainwal - Vision - Fritted
(5) Signage Band
(6) Exhaust Band
7) AluminumLamella
(8) Edge Planter
```



Retail on Water's Edge Promenade


Breezeway on Water's Edge Promenade


Breezeway
Plan View - Flexible Zoning Strategy


Breezeway
Aerial Overview


Breezeway


## Breezeway

Elevation
(1) Lobby Enty
2) Vertical penel- Textured Aluminum
(3) Curtainwal-Vision
(4) Curtainwal-vision- ritted
(5) Lobby Caropy / Signage Band
(6) Exhaust Band
7) AluminumLamella


## Breezeway

Interior Elevation - Community Center side


## Loading/Parking Entrance




## Sustainability \& MGBR

## Bayside Stage III - A1A2

Waterfront Toronto DRP - Stage 3
Sustainability \& MGBR

Presented by:
등․ |l|le $\begin{aligned} & \text { BUILDING } \\ & \text { PERFORMANCE }\end{aligned}$

## Key Discussion Items

- LEED V4 Homes MultiFamily Midrise
- Energy modeling results
- MGBR Design
- Key Sustainability Features



## LEED V4 Homes Multifamily Midrise

On track to achieve LEED ${ }^{\circledR}$ Gold certification with 71 points .


## LEED V4 Homes Multifamily Midrise - Scorecard




## Energy Model



## Energy Modeling Results



## MGBR Design

MGBR \#7: Roof is designed to carry 8.2 kPa deadload, enough to support an intensive green roof. $60 \%$ green roof coverage of available roof area


MGBR\#6: Slab-to-slab heights of 2.95m (Regular Suites) and 3.25 m (Terrace Suites); ground floor 6.9 m

## Key Sustainability Features



# Community Centre 

ISSUES IDENTIFICATION STAGE
PERKINS + WILL

## Introduction

Who are we?
Where are we in the process?

- Programming Stage : Sept-Oct 2018
- Schematic Design Stage : Oct-Dec 2018
- Design Development Stage : Dec-March 2019
- Contract Documentation Stage : March-July 2019
- Fit-up Construction Stage : Commences Sept 2020



## Design Objectives

## Supporting a broad set of community focused, city building and environmental objectives.

- Support and enhance the liveability of the East Bayfront precinct through the injection of community function
- Contribute to the vitality of the public realm and activation of the water's edge
- Assert a distinct public identity while blending with the larger development context
- Focus on program and site specific sustainable strategies that enhance active communities and community well-being
- Design for inclusivity and accessibility to invite a broader demographic of use



## Urban Analysis - Connections

## Part of a complete community vision.



## Urban Analysis - Street Hierarchy

## A rich hierarchy of streets and pathways:

The community centre will establish an important gateway to the

$1 / 3$ SECONDARY
ARTERIALS:

- Primary north-south precinct entry points
- Reinforced with public realm features
(2) PRIMARY

THOROUGHFARE:

- Main Street
- Transit Corridor
- Civic Realm

LOCAL STREET:

- U-shaped street internal to development to enhance pedestrian and vehicular access
- Facilitates mid-block locations
- Accommodates service access
5 THROUGH BLOCK
CONNECTION:
- Increases porosity of urban blocks
- Extends access and view corridors

PRECINCT GATEWAY

## Urban Analysis - Greenspace / Public Realm

## An integrated system of parks and green space:

The community centre has the opportunity to generate new partnerships and new program synergies with the adjacent park functions.


## Urban Analysis - Movement

## A clear network of movement:

The community centre will benefit from its unique connection to the surrounding walking,


## Program Opportunities

## Program areas to be verified by city staff and public consultation

- A diverse combination of programs and users
- A commitment to inclusive programming and design
- A commitment to responsive stakeholder and community engagement

A Gymnasium Component


## Program Opportunities

A diverse + inclusive range of users and spaces


## Program Opportunities

Program Visibility

The program offers a dynamic window into community life. The desire for program visibility must be balanced against the need for privacy and proper daylight control.

- A dynamic program expression
- Modulating daylight and visibilitv
- Expanding to the outdoors
- Improved marketability



## Proposed Footprint

- Level 01 Area $=1442.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 15,527 \mathrm{sf}$
- Mezzanine Area $=832.6 \mathrm{~m}^{2} /$ 8,962 sf
- TOTAL $=2,275.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 24,489 \mathrm{sf}$



## Site Opportunities

## A bigger concept of public space

The community centre has the opportunity to create a dynamic interface to the water's edge in accommodating a broader range of public use and marine functions

- Operability- a dynamic facade
- Indoor/ outdoor community event space- 'living room concept'
- Program synergies and partnerships (marine function)
- A more flexible concept of control + space utilization



## Site Opportunities

## A prominent + distinct entrance

The community centre must register a strong presence along Queen's Quay Blvd in reinforcing its important civic function

- Civic identity/ scale
- Entry expression (canopy/signage)
- Street/transit proximity



## Site Opportunities

Blending within the larger Aqualuna development

The community centre should explore a balance between asserting a distinct public image while at the same time picking up on the larger themes and architectural vocabulary of the Aqualuna development

- Podium context
- Materiality
- Modularity
- Signature architectural elements



## Site Analysis

## Queen's Quay Boulevard Edge

## Opportunities

- Identify entry at appropriate civic scale
- Maximize visibility of entrance + program from street
- Maximize weather protection (wind and rain)
- Maximize proximity to drop off and transit stop
- Integrate bicycle parking
- Integrate signage + wayfinding in a complementary way


## Constraints

- Limited frontage
- Zero lot line/limitation on projections and canopy design



## Site Analysis

## Parliament Steet Slip Edge

## Opportunities

- Explore indoor/outdoor relationship/operability of façade
- Explore use of 5 m paved zone for programming
- Capitalize on façade visibility from promenade and from across the Parliament slip
- Articulate façade in keeping with scale of spaces (i.e. at gym)


## Constraints

- Zero lot line limiting distance and possible requirement for sprinklered façade
- Sectional relationship of depressed gym to waterfront



## Site Analysis

The Breezeway

Opportunities

- Explore ability to program/animate breezeway
- Explore indoor/outdoor function
- Maximize transparency into gymnasium
- Integrate with breezeway soffit and ceiling expression


## Constraints

- Sectional relationship of depressed gym to breezeway



## Environmental Opportunities

Exemplifying a higher level of sustainability and well-being

- Create a minimum LEED Gold facility
- Conform with Toronto Green Standards Tier 2
- Address the challenges of this building type
- Water efficiency

- Energy efficiency
- Indoor air quality
- Durability
- Promote active living



Appendix A: Project Statistics

## Project Statistics

### 3.2 Residential GFA

3.2.1 Condominium Residential GFA


### 4.0 Condominum Units

4.1 Condominium Residential Unit Breakdown
4.1.1 Condominium Residential Unit Breakdown Proposed


Appendix B: Landscape Plan

Landscape Plan


# Appendix C: Sun/Shadow \& Wind Analysis 

## Sun Shadow Analysis

March/September $21^{\text {st }}$ 9AM-5PM


## Sun Shadow Analysis



## Wind Analysis

### 4.4 Pedestrian Wind Comfort and Safety Guidelines

Pedestrian comfort guidelines are based on mechanical wind effects without consideration of othe meteorological conditions (i.e. temperature, relative humidity). The guidelines provide an assessment of comfort, assuming that pedestrians are appropriately dressed for a specified outdoor activity during any given season. Five pedestrian comfort classes and corresponding gust wind speed ranges are used to assess pedestrian comfort, which include: (i) Sitting; (ii) Standing; (iii) Walking; (iv) Uncomfortable; and (v) Dangerous. More specifically, the comfort classes, associated wind speed ranges, and limiting guidelines are summarized as follows:
(i) Sitting - Wind speeds no greater than $14 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ occurring at least $70 \%$ of the time would be considered acceptable for sedentary activities, including sitting.
(ii) Standing - Wind speeds no greater than $22 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ occurring at least $80 \%$ of the time of the time are acceptable for activities such as standing, strolling or more vigorous activities.
(iii) Walking - Wind speeds no greater than $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ occurring at least $80 \%$ of the time of the time ar acceptable for walking or more vigorous activities.
(iv) Uncomfortable - Uncomfortable conditions are characterized by predicted values that fall below the $80 \%$ target for walking. Brisk walking and exercise, such as jogging, would be acceptable for moderate excesses of this comfort level.
(v) Dangerous - Wind speeds greater than $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, occurring more than $0.1 \%$ of the time, are classified as dangerous. From calculations of stability, it can be shown that gust wind speeds of $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ would be the approximate threshold wind speed that would cause an average elderly person in good health to fall.

The wind speeds associated with the above categories are gust wind speeds. Corresponding mean wind speeds are approximately calculated as gust wind speed divided by 1.5 . Gust speeds are used in the guidelines because people tend to be more sensitive to wind gusts than to steady winds for lower wind speed ranges. For strong winds approaching dangerous levels, this effect is less important, because the mean wind can also cause problems for pedestrians. The gust speed ranges are selected based on 'The Beaufort Scale', presented on the following page, which describes the effects of forces produced by varying wind speed levels on objects.

## Wind Analysis

Pedestrian comfort prediction

Spring


Summer


## Wind Analysis

Pedestrian comfort prediction

## Autumn



Winter


## Wind Analysis

## Conclusion

### 5.2 Pedestrian Comfort Summary

Based on the analysis of the measured data, consideration of local climate data, and the suitability descriptors provided in Tables 1 through 15 in Section 5.1, this section summarizes the most significant findings of the PLW assessment, as follows:

1. All surrounding public sidewalks and promenades within and surrounding the development site will be mostly suitable for standing, or better, during all seasonal periods, which is acceptable.
2. The planned landscaped areas, building access points, and pedestrian passage will experience wind conditions comfortable for standing or better throughout the year, which is considered appropriate for the intended uses of the spaces.
3. The Level 6 podium roof amenity area, represented by sensors $51-56$ (Tables 14 and 15 ), will be calm throughout the year. Conditions suitable for sitting are predicted during the summer season, while a mix of sitting and standing is predicted for the shoulder seasons of spring and autumn. Although not required, the introduction of $1.6-\mathrm{m}$ tall wind barriers around planned seating areas, comprising high-solidity wind screens and/or raised planters with dense coniferous plantings, will increase comfort levels during the colder months.
4. Within the context of typical weather patterns, which exclude anomalous localized storm events such as tornadoes and downbursts, no areas over the study site were found to experience wind conditions that are considered unsafe.



Study model inside the GWE wind tunnel.

